

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1922 of 2016
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9348 of 2015**

=====

Roshan Kumar, Son of Late Bhagwan Lal Sahu, resident of Mohalla - Shimrahi Bazar, P.O. Raghapur, P.S. Raghapur, District - Supaul

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary N.H. Division Department, Govt. of India, New Delhi (N.H. 9) through Project Director, Araria, N.H.A.I.
2. The Secretary, N.H. Division Department, Govt. of India, Union of India, New Delhi
3. The State of Bihar
4. The Collector, Supaul
5. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Supaul
6. The Arbitrator-Cum - Additional Collector, Supaul District Supaul

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Mani Bhushan Kumar, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kanak Verma, C.G.C.
		Mr. Anshay Bahadur Mathur, C.G.C.
		Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, G.P. 18
		Mr. Prabhu Naayan Sharma, A.C. to G.A.
		Mrs. Nutan Sahay, A.C. to A.A.G. 12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 22-11-2016

The present Letters Patent Appeal is directed against an order passed by the learned Single Bench of this Court on 21st of September, 2016 in C.W.J.C. No. 9348 of 2015 whereby the writ application has been ordered to be listed in usual course.

We find that such order is an interlocutory order which does not decide any *lis* between the parties.



Therefore, in terms of the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court reported as Midnapore Peoples’ Co-Op. Bank Ltd. Vs. Chunilal Nanda & Ors., (2006) 5 SCC 399, the present Letters Patent Appeal is not maintainable. The same is, thus, dismissed.

(Hemant Gupta, ACJ)

(Vikash Jain, J)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

