

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10828 of 2014

=====

Sushma Kumari, Daughter of Ram Prit Kushwaha, Resident of Mohalla - Lakshmi
CHowk, P.O. M.I.T., P.S. Brahampur, District - Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur
4. The District Teacher, Employment Appellate Tribunal through its Memer,
Muzaffarpur
5. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Muzaffarpur
7. The Block Development Officer, Meenapur, Muzaffarpur
8. The Block Education officer, Meenapur, Muzaffarpur
9. The Selection Committee of Panchayat Majhaulia through the Mukhiya, Block
Meenapur Muzaffarpur
10. The Mukhiya, Majhaulia Panchayat, Block Meenapur, Distt. - Muzaffarpur
11. The Panchayat Sachiv, Majhaulia Panchayat, Block Meenapur, Distt. -
Muzaffarpur
12. Rahmat Ali S/o Anwarul Hque Posted of Rajkiye Prathmik Vidyalaya,
Hazaratpur
13. Rambha Kumari D/o Parichan Rao Posted of Rajkiye Prathmik Vidyalaya,
Hazaratpur null
14. Sunita Kumari S/o Hari Narayan Singh Posted of Rajkiye Prathmik Vidyalaya,
Hazaratpur (Harizan) null
15. Manoj Kumar S/o Ram CHandra Prasad, Posted of Rajkiye Prathmik
Vidyalaya, Astalakpur
16. Dinesh Kumar S/o Chaturbhuj Ray, Posted of Rajkiye Prathmik Vidyalaya,
Majhauliya
17. Kumari Lalita D/o Sankar Lal Mahto, Posted of Rajkiye Prathmik Vidyalaya,
Bishunpur Respondent 12 to 17 at P.S. + Block Meenapur, Distt. - Muzaffarpur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. AAG3- Roy Shivajee Nath

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-12-2016

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The submission of the counsel does not merit



consideration, because in the changed circumstances, no person can be appointed as a Teacher in the State of Bihar without proper training after 31st of March, 2015 and without such a person passing the Teachers Eligibility Test (TET) examination.

Even otherwise, appointment relates to the year, 2006. Ten years have gone past and finding of the Tribunal is that the allegation of the petitioner is more made than made out.

Since, even after interference with the order of the Tribunal, the petitioner cannot be ordered to be appointed, that is why the Court says that the whole issue now remained academic and no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, writ application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	21.12.2016
Transmission Date	

