

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.910 of 2016

=====

1. Navin Kumar Singh @ Munna Singh Son of Late Kameshwar Singh
Resident of Village Enai, PS Revilganj, District Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra.
3. The Officer-in-Charge, Revilganj PS, District Saran at Chapra.
4. Saran Civil Court, Saran at Chapra through its Registrar, Saran Civil Court.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Roy, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad. SC-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

4 29-09-2016 Heard Mr. Rajesh Roy, learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. H.S. Sundaram, Assistant Counsel to learned Standing Counsel No.8, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

The petitioner's grievance in this writ petition, made under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, is that Dr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha, who had conducted the *post mortem* examination on the dead body of deceased Niraj Kumar, has not been examined; whereas Mr. H.S. Sundaram, learned Assistant Counsel to learned Standing Counsel No.8, appearing on behalf of the State respondents, has, now, submitted the said doctor has already been examined by the trial Court on

14.09.2016.

In view of the above, the present writ petition has, admittedly, become infructuous and is, accordingly, disposed of as having become infructuous.

(I.A. Ansari, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--