

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12081 of 2016

=====

Jitendra Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The Union of India & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Maya Shankar Mishra, Advocate

For the Respondent State: Mr. Amarendra Kumar, AC to AAG 13

For the Respondent Nos.2 &3:Mr. S.N.Pathak, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 20-10-2016 Heard Mr. Maya Shankar Mishra, learned Counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Amarendra Kumar, learned AC to AAG 13, appearing for the State-respondents. Heard also Mr. S.N.Pathak, learned Counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Having regard to the fact that the petitioner's allegation is that the lands, belonging to various persons, have been acquired by the respondents for construction of National Highway 84, for construction of a four lane highway, from Patna to Buxar, without making payment of compensation, we are of the view that the grievance, raised by the petitioner, in the present writ petition, made under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, is wholly misconceived inasmuch as the person, who is aggrieved, shall take recourse individually to

appropriate provisions of law for remedy of his grievance(s).

In view of the above, we close this Public Interest Litigation and dispose it of accordingly with liberty granted to the petitioner to take recourse to appropriate provision of law as may be permissible.

With the above observations, this writ petition shall stand disposed of.

(I.A. Ansari,CJ)

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

A.I./-

U			
---	--	--	--