

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11022 of 2016**

=====

1. Indra Pratap @ Lokman Pd. S/o Sri Krishna Pd. Resident of Jaggi Ka Chauraha, Mabir Pratap Road, Mugal Pura, P.S. Khajekallan, Distt. - Patna 800008 A/P Masjid Chauk, P.S. Town Hajipur, Distt. - Vaishali

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar represented through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat Building, Patna
2. District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur
3. Circle officer, Hajipur, Vaishali
4. Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Vaishali
5. Chief Executive office, Hajipur Municipal Corporation, Vaishali
6. Executive officer, Nagar Parishad, Hajipur ( Vaishali )
7. Municipal Commissioner, Vaishali
8. Director, Bihar Survey officer, Gulzar Bagh, Patna
9. Superintendent, Bihar Survey office, Gulzar Bagh, Patna
10. Chhathu Singh
11. Amar Singh
12. Pappu Singh
13. Mohan Singh
14. Satrugan Singh 10 to 14 are Sons of Late Ram Narayan Singh @ Maine Singh
15. Dr. Awadhesh Singh S/o Late Deo Narayan Singh
16. Om Prakash
17. Ved Prakash
18. Gunujan Prakash 16,17,18 are sons of Late Manik Chand Singh ( Proprietor of Manik Complex ) 10 to 18 are resident of Meenapur Pokhra, P.S. Town Hajipur, Distt. - Vaishali

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tilak Sao, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Pushkar Narain Shahi- AAG-10

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**and**

**HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

2      20-09-2016      Heard Mr. Tilak Sao, learned Counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. P.N.Shahi, learned Additional Advocate General No. 10, appearing for the State-respondents.

The grievance of the writ petitioner, made in this writ

petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, relates to encroachment of public path/public road.

Having regard to the facts pleaded in this writ petition, the materials on record and, having heard learned counsel for both the parties, we find that the subject matter of this writ petition is fully covered by the observations made, and the directions given by us in the case of **Sanjay Jha Vs. The State of Bihar and Others**, reported in 2016 (1) PLJR 248.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, it is hereby directed that respondent No.2, namely, District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur, and respondent No.3, namely, Circle Officer, Hajipur, Vaishali, shall act, in the present matter, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made and the directions given in the order, dated 24.11.2015, aforementioned.

In view of the above, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(I.A. Ansari, CJ)**

**(Anjana Mishra, J)**

A.I./-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|