

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1287 of 2014**

=====

Siromani Devi Wife Of Late Kapildeo Rai Resident Of Village - Laguraon,  
Post - Dumari, P.S. Mahua, District - Vaishali

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director-in-Chief, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna
4. The District Magistrate cum District Election Officer (Panchayat), Vaishali, Hazipur
5. The Secretary, State Election Commission, Bihar, Patna
6. The Joint Election Commission, Bihar, Patna
7. The Nodal Officer, Motor Cell (Panchayat), Gandhi Maidan, Patna
8. Parichari Pravar - Dwitiya, Rakshit Karyalaya, Navin Police Cendra, Patna
9. District Panchayat Raj Officer, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance:**

|                                   |   |                                    |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s              | : | Mr. Jai Prakash Verma              |
| For the State                     |   | Mr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, AC to GA-10 |
| For the State Election Commission |   | Mr. Amit Shrivastava               |
|                                   |   | Mr. Rotesch Kumar                  |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR**  
**MANDAL**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      09-09-2016      Heard the counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner is the widow of Late Kapildeo Rai. During the Gram Panchayat election held in 2011 a private vehicle was requisitioned. The petitioner was the driver of the said vehicle. During the period when the vehicle was under requisition, the petitioner developed serious health problem and while he was being carried to hospital he died. The present writ application is filed for a direction upon the respondents to pay suitable

compensation to the petitioner as her husband died on 01.05.2011 during the said Gram Panchayat election.

The State respondent(s) have filed a counter affidavit controverting the claim of the petitioner.

Mr. Verma, the counsel for the petitioner, after making submissions at some length, states that the petitioner would ventilate her grievance before the respondent no.4.

Without opining any view on the merit of her claim, the writ application stands disposed of with an observation that if any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall receive due consideration and disposal at the hands of the concerned State respondent(s) in accordance with law as quickly as possible.

**(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)**

HR/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|