

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.13283 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -459 Year- 2015 Thana -NARPATGANJ (BATHNAHA)District-
ARRARIA

- =====
1. Chandranand Mehta, son of late Panchu Mehta
 2. Sushil Mehta @ Sushil Kumar Mehta, son of Suryanand Mehta
 3. Manoj Mehta
 4. Sanjeev Mehta @ Sanjeev Kumar Mehta
- Both sons of Chandranand Mehta
5. Rajesh Mehta, son of Baijnath Mehta
- All resident of village Pathar Dewa, P.S. Narpatganj (Bathnaha), Distt.
Araria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Gulnar Begum, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA PRAKASH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2016 Supplementary affidavit filed today, be kept on the
record.

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the
State.

The Petitioners seek anticipatory bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 324,
307, 354(B), 379, 404, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section
27 of the Arms Act.

Considering that there is counter version of the
occurrence and the Petitioners have fair antecedents, let the
Petitioners in the event of surrender, named above, within four



weeks from the date of receipt of this order, in connection with Narpatganj (Bathnaha) P.S. Case No. 459 of 2015, shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.5,000/- (Five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each or any other surety to be fixed by the Court concerned to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria, subject to the following conditions: (i) That one of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioners who will give an affidavit giving genealogy as to how he is related with the petitioners. The bailor will also undertake to inform the Court if there is any change in the address of the petitioners. (ii) That the affidavit shall clearly state that the petitioners are not accused in any other case and if they are, they shall not be released on bail. (iii) That the bailor shall also state on affidavit that he will inform the court concerned if the petitioners are implicated in any other case of similar nature after their release in the present case and thereafter the court below will be at liberty to initiate the proceeding for cancellation of bail on the ground of misuse. (iv) That the petitioners will give an undertaking that they will receive the police papers on the given date and be present on date fixed for charge and if they fail to do so on two given dates and delay the trial in any manner, their bail will be liable to be cancelled for reasons of misuse. (v) That the

petitioners will be well represented on each date and if they fail to do so on two consecutive dates, their bail will be liable to be cancelled.

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Anjana Prakash, J)