

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Revision No.57 of 2016

=====

Sadanand Pandey, son of Late Gupteshwar Pandey, resident of Village
Sumeshwar Sthan, P.S. Buxar (T), District Buxar
..... Defendant Petitioner
..... Petitioner

Versus

1. Vijay Bahadur Singh, son of Late Algu Singh
2. Sushila Devi, wife of Vijay bahadur Singh,
Both resident of Village Sumeshwar Sthan, P.S. Buxar (T), District
Buxar
..... Plaintiff Opposite party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

4 22-06-2016

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner.

After considering the submission the prayer for condonation
of delay is allowed.

This revision application has been filed against the order by
which the learned court below has allowed the prayer for restoration
of the suit.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
there is doubt over the integrity of the Court as the order was passed
on subsequent date after deferring it on the date fixed. The learned
Counsel for the petitioner, however, could not show that as to how
the impugned order suffers from error or jurisdiction or material
irregularity. When query was put by the Court regarding such
statement in the revision petition, the learned Counsel has been
unable to show such statement.

After considering the submission and perusal of the record,

this Court deprecates such statement by the learned Counsel for the petitioner, which in any manner touches the integrity of a Judicial Officer. Such statements are clearly irresponsible when there is no supporting statement in the petition on affidavit.

After perusal of the impugned order, this Court does not find that there is any error or jurisdiction or material irregularity.

The revision application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J.)

Snkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--