

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Second Appeal No.78 of 2004

=====

1. Pathaloo Mahto
2. Rajinder Mahto
3. Mahinder Mahto, all sons of Late Bhola Mahto
4. Ram Ashish Mahto, son of Late Paltu Mahto
All resident of Village Sutihara, P.S. Chhapra Magh, P.S. Sadar, District
Muzaffarpur Defendants Respondents
..... Appellants

Versus

1. Lukhia Devi, wife of Late Shankar Mahto
2. Jitendra Kumar, son of Late Shankar Mahto
3. Chandrakala Kumari
4. Krishna Kumari, both daughters of Late Shankar Mahto
All residents of Village Sutihara, P.S. Chhapra Magh, P.S. Sadar,
District Muzaffarpur Plaintiffs Appellants
..... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s :
For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

18 28-01-2016 Nobody again appears on behalf of the appellants or
the respondents.

Perused the office note, from which it transpires that
this appeal stood dismissed as against respondent Nos. 2 to 4.
It further appears that respondent Nos. 2 to 4 were plaintiffs in
the suit and the appellants in the present appeal were
defendants.

After dismissal of this appeal as against respondent
Nos. 2 to 4, proceeding further with this appeal may result in
inconsistent orders and conflicting decrees. As such, this appeal
is dismissed as incompetent.

Snkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(V. Nath, J.)