

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.11719 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -150 Year- 2014 Thana -KASIMBAZAR District- MUNGER

Vikram Kumar, son of Late Dayanand Yadav @ Pravesh Yadav, resident
of Village- Kamarganj, P.S. Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. N.K.Agrawal, Sr. Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Ajay Kumar-II, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 10-08-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner is in custody in connection with **Sessions
Trial No.52 of 2015** arising out of **Kasim Bazar P.S.Case
No.150 of 2014** pending in the Court of **Additional Sessions
Judge-V, Munger** registered for an offence under Sections 364,
120(B), 379/34, 302 and 201 of the IPC.

As per the prosecution case, it is a case of ghastly
murder of two persons.

This is the second attempt for bail on behalf of the
petitioner. The earlier bail application of the petitioner was
rejected vide Cr.Misc.No.14689 of 2015 granting liberty to the
petitioner that if the trial will not conclude within six months, then

petitioner may renew his prayer for bail. In the light of the observations of the Court, the present application has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2014. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner.

A report was called for from the trial Court regarding the stage of the case, the same is kept at flag-‘A’. It has been reported that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined but the prosecution evidence has not yet been closed as the FSL report called for by the trial Court from the Director, Forensic Science Laboratory, Patna has not yet been received.

The Director, Forensic Science Laboratory, Patna is directed to ensure that the Forensic Science Laboratory report be sent to the trial Court within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this belated stage where the trial is at a concluding stage, the same is rejected .

The court below is directed to conclude the trial within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Director, Forensic Science Laboratory, Patna so that necessary steps could be taken in the light of the direction/observations made therein.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

B.Kr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--