

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.9549 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -27 Year- 2015 Thana -KUDHNI District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

Chunnu Bhagat, Son of Kailash Bhagat, Resident of village – Ladaura,
P.O. Ladaura Pakari, P.S. Kudhani (Turki), District - Muzaffarpur, Bihar

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sushil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Parmanand Prasad (APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

4 11-04-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kudhani
(Turki) P.S Case No. 27 of 2015 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 364/302/201/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner after taking Chandan
Kumar in his grip got executed a paper of Rs. 2,50,000/- and
started causing threats to transfer the land to the informant.
The petitioner also caused threats to kill Chandan if the land
would not be transferred and thereafter the dead body of Chandan
was found hanging with a mango tree.

Submission is of false implication and that the

petitioner is a student, he has been made victim of circumstances, the petitioner is in custody since 04.02.2015, during investigation no any incriminating article has been recovered either from the possession of the petitioner or from his house and due to village politics he has been implicated. Important link is missing in this case, from the call detail also the hand of the petitioner does not transpire. The petitioner is suffering in jail custody and he requires better treatment.

Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail by submitting that Chandan has informed telephonically that he was in the captivity of the petitioner and he is causing threats to kill him and to send the dead body to his father.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the allegation attributed against the petitioner serious in nature, and as such, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and accordingly, his such prayer stands rejected.

However, considering the detention of the petitioner, let the trial be expedited and concluded preferably within nine months.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--