

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.48699 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -9 Year- 2016 Thana -ECONOMIC OFFENCES, BIHAR District- PATNA
=====

Ram Surat Singh @ Ramasurat Singh, son of late Janki Singh, resident of
Village- Khaira, Police Station- Sahar, District- Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The I.G., Economic Offence Unit, Bihar, Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s
=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate

Mr. Rahul Nath, Advocate

For the Economic Offence Unit : Mr. Akhileshwar Prasad Singh, Sr.
Advocate.

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasiya, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

- 3 13-12-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the Economic Offence Unit and learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2016 in
connection with Economic Offence P.S. Case No. 09 of 2016 for
the alleged offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471,
120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 of the B.P.I.D
Act, 2003.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been
falsely implicated and he had no role to play in the offence
alleged against the Co-operative Society. The petitioner was
merely a signatory to the agreement for giving the premises on
rent and was merely working as a Computer Operator, and
had no field work or any concern with the management of the



Society and could not have indulged in financial irregularities on behalf of the Society, if any.

4. Mr. Akhileshwar Prasad Singh, appears on behalf of the Economic Offence unit, Bihar, Patna and opposes the bail application.

5. Having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patna, in connection with Economic Offence P.S. Case No. 09 of 2016 on the following conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

S.Ali/B.T.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

