

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4861 of 2015

=====

Dhananjay Kumar, son of Sri Nathuni Sharma, aged about 40 Years,
resident of village- Kamta, P.S. - Parasi, District- Arwal (Bihar).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of water Resources, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Water Resources, Government of Bihar, Bihar.
4. The Chief Engineer, Department of Water Resources, Aurangabad, Bihar.
5. The Superintending Engineer, High Level Sone Canal Anchal, Aurangabad, Bihar
6. The Executive Engineer, Patna Sone Canal (East) Daud Nagar, District- Aurangabad, Bihar.
7. The District Magistrate, Arwal, Bihar.
8. The Anchal Adhikari, Kaler, District- Arwal, Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhairaw Nand Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D. Yadav, AAG IX,
Mr. P.R. Kumar, A.C. to AAG-IX

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 21-09-2016 Heard Mr. Bhairaw Nand Sharma, learned Counsel for

the petitioner, and Mr. S.D. Yadav, learned AAG No.IX,

appearing for the State-respondents.

Having regard to the fact that this writ petition, under

Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the form of Public

Interest Litigation, is vague, we do not find that this writ petition

calls for any order in exercise of the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

This writ petition is, therefore, closed with liberty given to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari,CJ)

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM

U			
---	--	--	--