

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1430 of 2015

- =====
1. Lal Babu Paswan son of Sri Gajadhar Paswan resident of Village-Vishanpur Gonahi, P.O Bhutahi, P.S. Sonbarsa, District- Sitamarhi.
 2. Md. Faiyaz Hussain son of Md. Iltaf Hussain resident of village+P.O- Dodiyaavelan, District- Samastipur.
 3. Sunil Kumar son of Sri Arun Ray resident of village+P.O. Gangapur, P.S- Musrigharari District- Samastipur.
 4. Munna Kumar son of Sri Shailendra Rai resident of village- Ara, P.O. Dharara P.S. Hajipur District- Vaishali.
 5. Sunil Kumar son of Sri Vijay Prasad resident of village- Bidupur, P.O +P.S- Bidupur, District- Vaishali.
 6. Balister Kumar son of Sri Lakhan Sah resident of village- Durgapur, P.O- Kharbandia, P.S.- Sasaram, District- Rohtas..
 7. Gopal kumar son of Sri Ashok Seth resident of village- Khudnu, P.O. Belwa, P.S. Agred, District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Labour and Employment Training Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi.
2. National Council for vocational Training through Director General Employment and Training, Ministry of Labour & Employment Training Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi.
3. State of Bihar through the Director, Department of Labour and Employment Training, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. Director, Namda Industrial Training Centre, Rajiv Nagar, Road No.- 18/4A , P.O.- Keshri Nagar, Patna.
5. Director, Namda Industrial Training Centre, Balbhadrapur (Doodhopura), Tajpur Road, Samastipur.
6. Director, Shourya Industrial Training Centre, Jadua Hajipur, Vaishali.
7. Director, Maitreyi Industrial Training Institute, Basant Vihar, Dumra Mehsaul Road, Sitamarhi.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	None
For U.O.I.	:	Dr. Punam Kumari Singh, C.G.C.
For the State	:	Mr. Kundan Bahadur Singh, S.C.22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-01-2016

None for the petitioner. Counsel for respondents are
present.

From the nature of the relief so sought, it looks like that

the writ application has become infructuous and counsel for the petitioner has lost interest in the writ application.

Writ application stands dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--