

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.20 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 346 of 2014

=====

Hena Ansari, D/o Md. Najamuddin Ansari, Resident of Mohalla - Barbana,
P.S. Katihar, District – Katihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Katihar.
4. The District Programme Officer, Hasanganj, Katihar.
5. The Block Development Officer, Hasanganj, Katihar.
6. The Block Education Officer, Hasanganj, District – Katihar.
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Jagarnathpur, Hasanganj, Katihar.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Jagarnathpur, Hasanganj, Katihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Advocate
Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha

For the Opp. Party/s : Mr. S.S.P. Yadav, SC.14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 26-10-2016 Heard Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, learned counsel

appearing for the petitioner and Mr. S.S.P. Yadav, learned

counsel appearing for the State.

Although Mr. Jha learned counsel for the review
petitioner endeavours to argue that it is the failure of the
petitioner not to ably assist the Court which has led to the
passing of the order under review but considering that the writ
petition was dismissed as withdrawn on the permission taken
after advancing some arguments, coupled with the fact that it has

taken the petitioner more than two years to realize this position,
I am not persuaded with the arguments advanced by Mr. Jha.
Even otherwise the ground so canvassed by Mr. Jha cannot be a
ground for review of an order passed, especially where the
counsel for the petitioner sought permission to withdraw the writ
petition after advancing some arguments.

The review application is devoid of merit and is
dismissed in limine.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

SKPathak/-

U			
---	--	--	--