

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.908 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- SAMASTIPUR

Jai Kant Yadav son of Late Ram Balak Yadav, resident of village-Suraha Basant,
P.S.-Hasanpur, District- Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1.The State of Bihar
- 2.The District Magistrate, Samastipur
- 3.The Superintendent of Police, Samastipur
- 4.The Dy. S.P., Rosera, District- Samastipur
- 5.The Officer-in-charge, Hasanpur, District- Samastipur
6. Jai Jai Ram Yadav son of Shiv Nandan Yadav
- 7.Manju Devi wife of Jai Jai Ram Yadav
- 8.Ram Bijay Yadav son of Jai Jai Ram Yadav
- 9.Ranveer Yadav son of Jai Jai Ram Yadav
10. Krishna Narayan Yadav son of Shiv Nandan Yadav
11. Thakkan Yadav son of Yadu Yadav
12. Ram Pravesh Yadav son of Bino Yadav
13. Padarath Yadav son of Lakhan Yadav
14. Laddu Lal Yadav son of Amol Yadav
15. Waidehi Sharan Yadav son of Krishna Narayan Yadav
16. Ram Ratan Yadav son of Krishna Narayan Yadav
17. Suresh Yadav son of Lakhvin Yadav
18. Ram Sakha Yadav son of Laddu Yadav
19. Ram Babu Yadav son of Laddu Yadav
- 20.Vijay Yadav son of Lakhan Yadav
21. Lakhan Yadav son of Late Dhari Yadav
22. Raj Kumar Yadav son of Bino Yadav
23. Sharwan Yadav son of Biptori Yadav

Respondents no.6 to 23 are resident of village-Suraha Basant, P.S.-
Hasanpur, District- Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nagendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Ranjit Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Nilam Prasad, A.C. to G.P.25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-02-2016

By way of the present application preferred under Articles

226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction to be issued to the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rosera, Samastipur to hold the trial of Sessions Trial No.357 of 2013 arising out of Hasanpur P.S. Case No.50 of 2011 expeditiously.

2. It is contended that the case has been instituted, inter alia, under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. Though the FIR was registered on 27.5.2011, after framing of charges, there is no progress in the case.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the State shall take all possible steps to produce its witnesses during trial.

4. Regard being had to the nature of the prayer made in the present application, the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rosera, Samastipur, is directed to hold the trial expeditiously and conclude the same as early as possible.

5. With these observations, the application is disposed of.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Md.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--