

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8245 of 2013

- =====
1. Yoga Nand Jha Son Of Late Shiva Nand Jha Resident Of Village - Sahbajpur, P.S. Jogbani, District - Araria, General Secretary Of Bihar Arajkiya Prathamic Shikshak Sangharsh Samittee, Presently Living At Kumar Stationers, Baldev Bhawan Path, Mohanpur, Punaichak, P.S. Shastri Nagar, District - Patna
 2. Ambica Prasad Sinha Son Of Late Yamuna Prasad Resident Of Village - Sauwan, P.S. Chandi, District - Nalanda, Presently Vice President Of Samittee
 3. Krishna Prasad Singh Son Of Late Faujdar Singh Resident Of Village - Pandeypur, P.S. Bihta, District - Patna, Presently Pradesh Sangathan Mantri - Cum - Pradesh Pravakta Of Samittee
 4. Vindeshwar Singh Son Of Late Ramesh Chandra Singh Resident Of Village - Mahinama (Baraiya Tola), P.S. Maner, District Patna At Present Joint Secretary Of Samittee
 5. Md. Siraj Khan Son Of Late Md. Manjoor Khan Resident Of Mohaalla - Dargah Road, P.S. Sultanganj, District - Patna 6, At Present Respected Member Of The Samittee
 6. Ram Janam Sharma Son Of Ram Charitar Sharma Resident Of Village - Katari, P.S. Rani Talab (Bikram), District - Patna, At Present Respected Member Of The Samittee

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary Cum Commissioner, Human Resources Department, New Secretariat, Patna
3. The Director Of Primary Education, Human Resources Department, New Secretariat, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar,
For the State : Mr. Amish Kumar AC to AAG 8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 26-09-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners
submits that cases of the petitioners be considered with regard to

payment of salary, cost of living allowance and other allowances since 5.4.1990 with interest and other consequential benefits by the competent authority. He further submits that theirs cases are covered by the judgment of this Court in the case of Ravi Shankar and others V. The State of Bihar and others, reported 2007(Supp) PLJR 337 and he says that they should be given the same benefit.

This Court is not giving any opinion on the merit of the case. This Court only directs the petitioners to file detailed representation before the authority concerned and if the authority concerned arrives to a conclusion that this case is covered by the aforesaid judgment and the petitioner is entitled to relief in that circumstance they should be given the same benefit. The authority concerned is directed to dispose of the representation within a period of four months from the date of filing of the representation.

With the aforesaid observation and direction this writ petition is disposed of.

Vinay/-

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	28.9.2016
Transmission Date	NA