

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24636 of 2013

=====

1. Sheo Puja Giri S/O Late Sheo Nandan Giri Resident Of Village And P.O-
Belahiya, P.S- Darpa, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Collector, Motihari, East Champaran.
3. The Superintendent Of Police, Motihari, East Champaran.
4. The Officer- In- Charge Of Darpa Police Station, Motihari East
Champaran.
5. The Circle Officer, Chhauradano, P.S- Chauradano, District- East
Champaran.
6. The Circle Inspector Chhauradano Circle, P.S- Chhauradano, District-
East Champaran.
7. The Halka Karamchari Of Belahiya Village, Chhauradano Circle, District-
East Champaran.
8. The Chairman North Bihar Gramin Bank, Head Office, Sharma Complex
Kalambagh Chowk, Muzaffarpur
9. The Senior Manager, North Bihar Gramin Bank Head Office Sharma
Complex Kalambagh Chowk, Muzaffarpur.
10. The Regional Manager North Bihar Gramin Bank Motihari (North),
East Champaran.
11. The Branch Manager North Bihar Gramin Bank Narkatiya Branch, P.S-
Darpa, District- East Champaran, Motihari.
12. Prabhu Giri S/O Jagdish Giri Resident Of Village Belahiya, P.S- Darpa,
District- East Champaran.
13. Awadhesh Giri S/O Prabhu Giri Resident Of Village Belahiya, P.S-
Darpa, District- East Champaran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava

For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 18-07-2016

Heard Mr. Vijay Shankar Srivastava in support of the

application. No one has appeared on behalf of the State.

Petitioner claims right, title and interest in respect of
the subject land detailed in paragraph 10 of the application. The
grievance is that the private respondent nos. 12 and 13 had
presented document of title/possession in respect thereof before

the respondent Bank and obtained loan. Looking through the writ petition, prima facie, it appears, it is a dispute between the two parties, may be agnates, in respect of the subject land. It has further been submitted that the Circle Officer also vouched in favour of the private respondent quite illegally. If any wrong has been committed by the Revenue Authority, the matter can be agitated before the District Collector for consideration and disposal in accordance with law.

Mr. Srivastava, after making submissions at some length, submits that the petitioner would ventilate his grievance with regard to the illegalities committed by the Circle Officer or the other Revenue Authority before the respondent District Collector.

The writ application stands disposed of granting the petitioner the said liberty. Needless to observe that if any such application/representation is filed within a reasonable period of time, the same shall receive due consideration and disposal at the hands of the respondent District Collector in accordance with law as quickly as possible preferably within three months from such filing/presentation.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--