

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.137 of 2015

In

LPA 1101 of 2012

=====

Bibha Kumari, W/o Sri Rajiv Kumar, resident of village –
Bishunpura, Post Office – Bhagwanpur, P.S. Parsa, District –
Saran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Primary and Adult Education, Human Resources Development Department, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-Chairman, Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan, Saran at Chapra.
4. The Deputy Development, Saran At Chapra.
5. The District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority through the Secretary, Saran at Chapra.
6. The District Superintendent of Education-cum-District Programme Coordinator, Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan, Saran at Chapra.
7. The Block Development Officer, Parsa Block, District – Saran.
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Parsa Block, District – Saran.
9. The Range Education Officer, Amnour Block, District – Saran.
10. The Gram Panchayat Raj, Sajuni through its Panchayat Secretary at Parsa Block, District – Saran.
11. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Saguni Saguni, P.O. Sri Rampur, P.S. – Parsa, District – Saran.
12. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Saguni at Saguni, P.O. Sri Rampur, P.S. – Parsa, District – Saran.
13. The Headmaster, Government Primary Girls School, Uttimpur, P.O. Bhagwanpur, P.S. – Parsa, District – Saran.
14. Babi Kumari, W/o Rakesh Kumar Singh, Resident of Village- Uttimpur, P.O. Bhagwanpur, P.S. – Parsa, District – Saran

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, AC to GP-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

And
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN SHARMA
ORAL ORDER
(Per: **HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH**)

2. 15-11-2016

Delay of 102 days in filing Civil Review Application
is condoned.

Heard Sri Rajendra Prasad Singh, learned Senior
Counsel for the review petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

We are of the view that the Review Application
cannot be termed into the second appellate forum to hear the
matter on merit all over again. If there is an error of judgment, the
remedy is appeal and not rehearing.

We are not inclined to interfere in the matter. This
application is dismissed, accordingly.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--