

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7947 of 2015

1. Ram Uchit Sahani son of Late Tupan Sahni resident of village- Mogal Chak Manjha, P.O. and P.S.- Mohiuddinagar, District- Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, State Information Commission, Fourth Floor, Suchana Bhawan, Beli Road, Patna.
4. The Director, Primary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
6. The Public Information Officer, Collector (Samaharnalya), Samastipur.
7. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.
8. The Dy. Development Commissioner, Samastipur.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Patori, Samastipur.
10. The Circle Officer, Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.
11. The Block Education Officer, Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.
12. The Block Development Officer, Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.
13. The Pramukh, Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.
14. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Karimnagar, P.O. and P.S.- Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.
15. Muneshwar Sahni Son of Late Shivdhari Sahani resident of Village- Manjha, P.O. and P.S.- Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.
16. Ram Prit Sahni son of Late Yugeshwar Sahni resident of village- Manjha, P.S. and P.S.- Mohiuddinagar, Samastipur.

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Prasad Yadav, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. P.K. Verma, (AAG-5)

Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma (AC to AAG – 5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 30-03-2016

Heard Mr. Madhav Prasad Yadav, learned Counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. P.K. Verma, learned Additional Advocate General No. 5, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach this Court with appropriate application in future.

No objection has been raised to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioner.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Anjana Mishra, J)

sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--