

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.26572 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -141 Year- 2015 Thana -DARBHANGA District- DARBHANGA

1. Ram Kishore Thakur S/o Late Narayan Thakur
2. Gopal Thakur
3. Ansu Thakur @ Pramod Thakur @ Ansu Kumar
Both 2 and 3 Sons of Ram Kishore Thakur All are resident of Mohalla -
Saudagar - Gullowara, P.S. Town, District - Darbhanga

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Das

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Asha Devi

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-07-2016 At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the typed copy of the F.I.R. instead of Section 307 of the I.P.C. it has incorrectly been typed as section 304 I.P.C. He makes a prayer for allowing him to make correction.

The prayer is allowed.

It may be done in course of the day.

Heard Sri Vijay Shankar Srivastava, learned counsel who was assisted by Sri Pankaj Kumar Das, learned counsel for the petitioners and Smt. Asha Devi, learned A.P.P.

Three petitioners, who are named with specific accusation in the F.I.R. have approached this court for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Darbhanga Town P.S. Case

No. 141 of 2015 registered for the offence under section 341, 323, 324, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that injury report does not corroborate the accusation made in the F.I.R. On this very ground he has made a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail whereas learned A.P.P. opposing the prayer for anticipatory bail submits that against all the three accused there is specific accusation in the F.I.R.

Keeping in view the fact that there is accusation against the petitioners in the F.I.R. itself, I do not find any ground to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--