

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2697 of 2013

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6417 of 2010

=====

Ramdeo Prasad, son of Shri Shiv Sahay Mahto, resident of village Afardih,
P.O. Mohanpur, P.S. Kauakol, District Nawadah.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. P.K. Roy, s/o not known, Chairman, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Mr. K.K. Verma, s/o not known, Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Mr. Binod Kumar, s/o not known, General Manager-cum-Chief Engineer, Magadh Electricity Supply Zone, Gaya.
5. Mr. Anil Kumar, s/o not known, Superintending Engineer (Electricity) Nawada Electricity Supply Circle, Nawada.
6. Mr. Manoj Kumar, s/o not known, Executive Engineer (Electricity) Nawada Electricity Supply Circle, Nawada.
7. Mr. Pradeep Kumar, s/o not known, Junior Engineer (Electricity) Power Grid Par, Nawada.
8. Mr. Chathu Lal Prasad, s/o not known, Chief Engineer, Operation & Management, SBPDL, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Raod, Patna-800001.

.... Respondents.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Prabhat Kishore, Advocate
For the SBPDCL : Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, AC to GA-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH
ORAL ORDER

11 20-04-2016 This Court vide order, dated 24.01.2012, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 6417 of 2010, has directed the Bihar State Electricity Board to pay compensation of Rs.3,50,000/- to the petitioner as her son Kamdeo Kumar, aged about 20 years, died on account of electric current, because of the negligence of the Board.

Learned counsel appearing for the Power Holding Company submits that an Appeal was preferred against this order,

which unfortunately got dismissed for default, for which restoration application has been filed. Learned counsel for the Board produces a Cheque of Rs.2,00,000/- drawn in favour of the petitioner, which is handed over to the learned counsel on behalf of the writ petitioner.

If nothing positive happens for the Board in the Appeal within four months from today, it will be open for the petitioner to file a fresh contempt application.

The application of contempt is disposed of.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

kunal/-

U			
---	--	--	--