

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4395 of 2015

=====

Jogendra Thakur. Son of Late Govind Thakur. Resident of 86, Patliputra Colony, P.S.- Patliputra, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Patliputra Cooperative House Construction Society Limited, Patliputra Colony, Patna-800013.
2. The Block Development Officer, Patna Sadar, the Returning office of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, at and P.S.- Patliputra, District - Patna.
3. Promod Kumar Verma. President of Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, at and P.S.- Patliputra at & Dist.- Patna.
4. Ajay Kumar Singh, Vice-president of the Patliputra at & Dist.- Patna.
5. Gyan Shankar, the Secretary of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, at and P.S.- Patliputra at Distt.- Patna.
6. Mrs. Kum Kum Narain, the Treasurer of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, at and P.S.- Patliputra, at Distt.- Patna.
7. R.K. Mahto, member, Executive Committee (Backward Class) of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, at & P.S.- Patliputra at & Distt.- Patna.
8. Matloob Alam, Member Executive Committee (Exteremly Backward Class) of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, P.S.- Patliputra, Distt.- Patna.
9. M.K. Mazumdar, member Executive Committee (General) of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, P.S.- Patliputra, Distt.- Patna.
10. Sufia Hassan, member Executive Committee (General) of the Patliputra Co-operative House Construction Society, P.S.- Patliputra, District - Patna.
11. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Co-operative Society, Bihar, Patna.
12. The Registrar Co-operative Society, Bihar, Patna.
13. The Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Society Patna Division, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajeet Kumar, SC-28

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 29-03-2016

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the records.

The petitioner questions the order dated 08.12.2014 passed by the Deputy Registrar in Election Case No. 136 of 2014 whereby the election case has been dismissed.

Perusal of the order manifests that the challenge to the election of the private respondent was *inter alia* on ground that he has submitted a forged caste certificate which was not found to be correct and it is absence of any evidence led by the writ petitioner to support his allegation regarding forgery, that the election case was dismissed.

No cause for indulgence is made out.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--