

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2371 of 2014

=====

Ramayan Pandey Son of Basudeo Pandey Resident Of Village - Belsand,
P.S. Barauli, District Gopalganj

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Collector, Gopalganj
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Gopalganj
4. The Circle Officer, Barauli, Gopalganj
5. The Block Development Officer, Block Barauli, Dist. Gopalganj

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-30

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 29-04-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the names of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner are printed in the daily cause list.

The learned AC to SC-30 appearing on behalf of the respondents, by referring to the averments made in the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2 and 3, submits that the lands in question has been recorded as *Sairat* land and the petitioner has no legal right over the lands in question. Therefore, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed, being devoid of merits.

Bt that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--