

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12964 of 2012

=====

Md.Zamal Son Of Md. Islam, Resident Of Village - Jiya Gachhi, P.O.-
Bhoga Bhatgawa, P.S. Mufasil Sadar Rani Patra, District Purnea

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of
Environment and Forest, Bihar, Patna
2. The Chief Forest Conservator, Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Chief Forest Conservator, Bhagalpur
4. The Forest Conservator, Purnea Division, Purnea
5. The Forest Divisional Officer, Purnea Forest Division, Purnea
- Respondents

=====

With

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14314 of 2012

=====

Md. Aarif Son of Badiruddin R/O Chandra Gawa, P.O.- Khakisha, P.S.-
Bayasi, District-Purnea

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Environment and Forest,
Bihar, Patna
2. The Chief Forest Conservator, Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Chief Forest Conservator, Bhagalpur
4. The Forest Conservator, Purnea Division, Purnea
5. The Forest Divisional Officer, Purnea Forest Division, Purnea
- Respondents

=====

With

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14328 of 2012

=====

Ratan Karmakar Son of Sri Tara Chandra Karmakar, Resident of Village-
Batgawa, P.O.- Bhoga Bhatgawa, District- Purnea

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of
Environment and Forest, Bihar, Patna
2. The Chief Forest Conservator, Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Chief Forest Conservator, Bhagalpur
4. The Forest Conservator, Purnea Division, Purnea
5. The Forest Divisional Officer, Purnea Forest Division, Purnea
- Respondents

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.12964 of 2012)

For the Petitioner : None

For the Respondents : Mr. Dhurjati Kr Prasad GP7

(In CWJC No.14314 of 2012)

For the Petitioner : None

For the Respondents : Mr. Yogendra Pd. Sinha AAG15
(In CWJC No.14328 of 2012)

For the Petitioner : None

For the Respondents : Mr. Rajesh Kumar GP8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 05-08-2016 Despite repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of

the petitioners today. On 02.08.2016 also none had

represented the petitioners when the case was called.

2. The writ petitions are accordingly dismissed for

non-prosecution on two consecutive dates.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

U			
---	--	--	--