



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.58270 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -23 Year- 2015 Thana -KUNALI District-
SUPAUL

=====

Ram Prasad Yadav, Son of Late Soti Yadav, resident of
Village-Bathnaha, P.S.-Kunauli, District-Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kr. Singh No.1 (A.P.P.)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 01-02-2016 Heard learned counsel, appearing on behalf of
the petitioner, and learned Additional Public Prosecutor,
appearing on behalf of the State.

 This application for grant of anticipatory bail
arises out of Kanauli P.S. Case No.23 of 2015, disclosing
offences under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

 In view of the decision of the Supreme Court,
in the case of "**Jai Prakash Singh vs. State of Bihar &
Another**", reported in **(2014) 4 SCC 379**, I am not

inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, abovenamed.

This application for anticipatory bail is, accordingly, rejected.

The petitioner, abovenamed, is directed to surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today and seek regular bail, if so advised. If he does so, his application for regular bail shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by rejection of present application for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has submitted that the petitioner is an old person. It is expected that learned court below, while considering the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner, shall keep in mind this aspect also.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Praveen-II/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--