

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11737 of 1997

=====

1. Ram Chandra Ram son of Amirchand Ram (deceased) (**Expunged vide order dated 14.10.1998 and substituted by his following heirs and legal representatives**)

1(a) Most. Sarwati Devi (widow)

1(b) Bachan Pd.Chaurasia (son)

1(c) Gulab Prasad (son)

1(d) Ajay Kumar (son)

1(e) Champa Devi (daughter) and wife of Shri Kashi Nath

Prasad Chaurasia

2. Anil Kumar

3. Sunil Kumar

4. Roshan Kumar

Petitioner nos. 2 to 4 are sons of Laxman Ram (deceased)

All the petitioners are resident of Mohalla Chamar Takiya Town,
P.S.Sasaram, District Rohtas

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

2. The District Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram, District Rohtas

3. The Additional Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram, District Rohtas

4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sasaram, District Rohtas

5. The Anchal Adhikari, Sasaram, District Rohtas

6. Banarsi Ram son of Kunwar Ram (deceased)

7. Hanuman Ram son of Raghunath Ram

8. Pradeep Ram son of Raghunath Ram (**Expunged vide order dated 13.01.2012 and substituted by his following heirs and legal representatives**)

8(a) Most. Champa Kuer (widow)

8(b) Raju Kumar (son)

8(c) Guddu Kumar (minor son under the guardianship of his mother
and natural guardian namely Most. Champap Kuer)

Respondent no.8 to 8 (c) are resident of Mohalla Chamar

Takiya, Town & P.S. Sasaram, District Rohtas at Sasaram

8(d) Rajni Devi (daughter) and wife of Shri Chandan Chaurasia, resident
of Village +Post+ P.S. Durgawati, District Kaimur at Bhabua

9. Govind Ram

10. Arvind Kumar

11. Manoj Kumar

Respondent Nos. 9 to 11 are sons of Raghunath Ram and are residents
of Mohalla Chamar Takiya, Town & P.S. Sasaram, District Rohtas

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar Sinha No.1, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhakar Jha, GP 27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

10 05-09-2016

After some argument, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, in view of pendency of a Second Appeal before this Court with respect to the same sets of lands, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to raise all the issues of fact in the aforesaid pending Second Appeal.

The learned GP 27, appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 5, does not raise any objection to the prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In above view of the matter, permission to withdraw the present writ petition is accorded, and the present writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--