

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15168 of 2016

=====

Anand Kumar Yadav Son of Bairister Yadav, resident of Village- Shamdas Bagahi,
Police Station- Kateya and District- Gopalganj, (Bihar).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Chief of Army, C.G.O. Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
2. The Director of Recruitment, ZRO, Danapur, Patna.
3. The DIR, RTG, ARO, Danapur, Patna.
4. The Examination Controller, Constable (G.D.) Recruitment, Danapur, Patna, Bihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Avinash Kumar Singh, Advocate

Mr. Manish Kumar No.2, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. S.D. Sanjay (ADDL. SOC. GEN.)

Mr. Kumar Raviranjana, C.G.C.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-12-2016

Let the petitioner get a declaration from any Court of competent jurisdiction contrary to the finding, which has formed the basis for the respondent authorities to reject the candidature of the petitioner for appointment under the Indian Army.

This Court is not going to investigate as to what kind of cushy arrangement the family has in absence of any declaration about any adoption. The cock and the bull story as to how the petitioner was born in Kushinagar (Uttar Pradesh), but brought up in Gopalganj in the State of Bihar cannot be accepted on mere assertion in the writ. The materials, which have been relied upon by the petitioner, *prima facie* does not convince the Court that the decision



of the respondents is required to be upturned and a direction be issued to make appointment contrary to Annexure-3.

Writ is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	16.12.2016
Transmission Date	N/A

