

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19117 of 2015

=====

Rounak Kedia @ Ronak Kedia, son of Anil Kumar Kedia Resident of
Gulabbagh, P.S. - Sadar, Distt. - Purnea.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Purnea.
2. The Collector, Purnea.
3. The Block Supply Officer, Jalalgarh, Purnea.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. D. N. Tiwari, Advocate

For the State : Ms. Kalpana, A.C. to S.C.19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the
petitioner raises only one issue, i.e., for release of the vehicle
on furnishing sufficient security before the competent
authority.

It is contended that the petitioner is the owner of
the vehicle which is apparent from Annexure 2 series and
there has not been any reason for not releasing the vehicle
even during the pendency of the confiscation case upon
furnishing sufficient security.

Having regards to the facts and circumstances of

the case, this Court would direct for release of the vehicle concerned after proper verification regarding the ownership and also considering Annexure 2 appended with the writ application upon furnishing sufficient security to the satisfaction of the confiscating authority. The release would be subject to the result of the confiscation case as well as the concerned criminal proceeding and, if required, the petitioner would have to produce the vehicle before the court concerned or confiscating authority. It is expected that the entire exercise would be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order.

It goes without saying that the petitioner would not dispose off the vehicle in the interregnum period.

This writ application stands disposed of.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Sanjay-II/-

U			
---	--	--	--