

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.111 of 2016**

=====

1. Md. Moinuddin son of late Abdul Jabbar resident of village + P.O. + P.S. Makhdumpur, District - Jehanabad.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Human Resources Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Teachers Employment Appellate Tribunal Jehanabad.
3. The Director Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education Magadh Division, Gaya.
5. The Secretary Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
7. The District Education Officer, Jehanabad.
8. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Jehanabad.
9. The Head Master cum Secretary K.L.S. Ideals High School Makhdumpur (Jehanabad).
10. Rubi Kumari wife of Shiv Shankar resident of village - Makhdumpur Saraiya, P.S. Makhdumpur, Dist - Jehanabad.
11. Kumari Maya Devi wife of Santosh Kumar resident of village - Bhare Bigha, P.S. Makhdumpur, Dist - Jehanabad.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shri Krishna Ranjan, Adv.

Mr. Shankar Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar Singh, A.C. to S.c.8

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      05-09-2016      Heard Mr. Shri Krishna Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Praveen Kumar Singh learned A.C. to S.C.8 for the State.

Though the petitioner has raised grievance against the order dated 17.7.2015 passed by the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Jehanabad in Complaint Case No. 5 of 2014-15 whereby the order of termination passed by the Managing Committee of the K.L.S. Adarsh High School has been upheld but in my opinion, in view of the finding of the Appellate Authority

present at paragraph 4 of the writ petition, the writ petition itself is held not maintainable for a termination by a private Managing Committee of an educational institution is put to question by way of a writ petition.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is held not maintainable and is disposed of. This order however would not preclude the petitioner from taking recourse to such other remedy as may be available to him in law.

**(Jyoti Saran, J)**

Bibhash/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|