

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19449 of 2015

=====

Bishwanath Singh & Anr			Petitioner/s
Versus			
Vinod Singh & Ors			Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Tondon
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2016 Heard the learned counsel, Mr. Dilip Kumar Tondon for the petitioners.

Perused the impugned order dated 27.08.2015. By the impugned order, the Court below has only allowed the substitution application, therefore, no rights of the parties have been decided and it is purely in interlocutory matters. The points raised by the petitioners can only be decided after evidences are adduced.

In my opinion, therefore, the order neither can be said to be without jurisdiction nor it can be said that the Court below passed the order in the manner not permitted by law nor it can be said that the petitioner is prejudiced in any manner.

Thus, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order and accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--