

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23242 of 2013

- =====
1. Kaleshwar Sahni Son Of Late Ramsagar Sahni Resident Of Village - Vadanpurmilki, P.O. Belkunda, P.S. Mahua, District - Vaishali
 2. Umesh Sahni Son Of Late Kalash Sahni Resident Of Village - Vadanpurmilki, P.O. Belkunda, P.S. Mahua, District - Vaishali
 3. Baijnath Rai @ Ajit Kumar Son Of Late Shital Rai Resident Of Village And P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 4. Sitaram Rai Son Of Late Hargovind Rai Resident Of Village And P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 5. Jageshwar Rai Son Of Late Ghina Rai Resident Of Village And P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 6. Binda Rai Son Of Late Chhamlal Rai Resident Of Village And P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 7. Most. Krishna Devi W/O Late Sakaldeo Rai Resident Of Village And P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 8. Vishwanath Jha Son Of Late Sri Deo Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 9. Most. Godawari Devi W/O Late Gangadhar Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 10. Anil Jha Son Of Baldeo Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 11. Vinod Kr. Jha Son Of Late Ramchandra Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 12. Surendranath Jha Son Of Late Bhuvaneshwar Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 13. Asheshwar Jha Son Of Late Sawaroop Lal Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 14. Baij Nath Jha S/O Late Satyadeo Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 15. Shambhunath Jha Son Of Late Jeevnath Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 16. Sakal Sahni Son Of Late Safer Sahni Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 17. Gopal Jha Son Of Late Mahanand Jha @ Khrkhu Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 18. Sujeet Son Of Late Hari Nr. Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 19. Meena Jha W/O Vijay Narayan Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 20. Raj Kumar Jha Son Of Late Shiv Shankar Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 21. Satish Jha Son Of Late Jeevnath Jha Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali
 22. Chandradeep Rai Son Of Late Janak Rai Resident Of Village - Eraji Nainha, P.O. Nainha, P.S. Hajipur Sadar, District - Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar

2. The Commissioner, Department Of Revenue And Land Reforms, Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Additional Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Land Reforms, Government Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Inspector General, Special Task Force, Patna
5. The Collector, Vaishali At Hajipur
6. The Land Acquisition Officer, Vaishali At Hajipur

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Shekhar Dvivedi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Kumar Dubey
Mr. Parth Gaurav
Mr. Satyendra Nath Shukla
Mr. Rakesh Chandra

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Krishna Chandra, AC to AG


CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-04-2016 Despite indulgence granted by this Court by order dated 22.12.2015, rejoinder affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the petitioners controverting the averments made in the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no. 5 and 6. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that despite all his endeavours, he has not been able to contact the petitioners; therefore, no rejoinder affidavit could be filed.

In the aforesaid factual matrices as also the averments made in the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no. 5 and 6, after some argument, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to file a fresh writ petition in the same subject matter after bringing on record all the subsequent developments, which might have taken place during the interregnum period, if the grievances of the writ petitioners still subsist.

Permission is accorded.

The writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.



(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)