

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7501 of 2014

=====

Sangita Kumari, wife of Satyendra Pandey, resident of village - Siktia Math, P.S. Areraj, District - East Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority through its Member, East Champaran.
4. The Collector, Motihari, East Champaran.
5. The District Program Officer (Establishment), Motihari, East Champaran.
6. Block Development Officer, Areraj, District East Champaran.
7. Block Education Officer, Block Areraj, District - East Champaran.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar No.III, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kr. Uapdhyay, S.C.-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-05-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The ground for rejection of the application of the petitioner by the District Teachers Appointment Appellant Authority is not required to be interfered with for the simple reason that the recruitment relates to the year 2008. The explanation offered by her for moving the tribunal in the year 2013 is not adequate enough to condone the delay caused in moving the authority.

Even otherwise, since the rules have undergone a change, no teacher can be appointed even in the Panchayat without

passing the Teachers Eligibility Test. In the changed circumstances, petitioner cannot be ordered to be appointed at such a belated stage.

The writ application has no merit, it is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Vats/-

U			
---	--	--	--