

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3023 of 2014

Smt. Veena Devi Wife Of Dr. Shiv Shankar Mehta Resident Of Q.No. H-1
Officers Flats, New Punaichak, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, District And Town- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, Through The Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Executive Engineer, Patliputra Building Division, Patna
3. The Land Revenue Officer, Building Construction Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. Shri Shaligram Prasad, Competent Officer, Building Construction Department, Bihar, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, District- Patna
6. Rana Pravesh Chandra, Assistant Engineer, Road Construction Department, Bihar, Patna

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. KUMAR RAVI SHANKAR
For the Respondent/s : Mr. MANOJ KR. AMBASTHA, SC-26
MR. TRIPURARI NATH AMBASTHA,
AC to SC-26

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-09-2016

Heard the counsel for the petitioner and the State.

From her own showing, the petitioner is not the allottee of government Quarter No.H-I, New Punaichak, Patna. The writ application questions the notice issued to the allottee of the said Quarter for immediate vacation thereof as the allottee, being the husband of the petitioner, has been transferred from Patna.

How the wife of the allottee can maintain a writ petition and pray for quashing of the notice as also a direction to allot the Quarter to her husband or to her is beyond comprehension. The writ application is

completely misconceived.

Dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

HR/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.09.2016
Transmission Date	