

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22341 of 2013

=====

Arvind Kumar Pandey, son of Late Muktinath Pandey, Proprietor M/S.
Baba Mahendra Nath Saw Mill, Rajpur More, Raghunathpur, District-
Siwan

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Forest and Environment, Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Chief Conservator of Forest, Muzaffarpur
4. The Prescribed Authority-cum- Conservator of Forest, Siwan Circle, Siwan
5. The Licensing Authority-cum- Divisional Forest Officer, Siwan now shifted at Gopalganj
6. The Range Officer, Department of Forest, Siwan

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Manoj, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dinbandhu Singh, G.P. 9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 08-02-2016 Heard Sri Manoj Kumar Manoj, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AC to SC-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioner tried to persuade the Court to quash an order dated 13.08.2013 passed in Appeal no.07 of 2012 (Annexure-6 to the writ petition). However, after noticing the fact that the Court was not inclined to directly interfere with the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a prayer for disposal of the writ petition, so that the petitioner may avail appropriate remedy.

The prayer is allowed.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

It goes without saying that the period consumed in pursuing the present writ petition i.e. from 11.11.2013 till date, may be excluded, while considering the limitation matter. It is also observed that the Court has not recorded any opinion on the merit of the case.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--