

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5317 of 2014

=====

1. Mahendra Prasad Rai Son Of Late Ram Gyan Rai Resident Of Village And Post - Mahmada, Via - Pusa, District - Samastipur, Retired Agriculture Inspector, Tirhut Agriculture College, Dholi, Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Secretary, Agriculture And Sugarcane Development Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Agriculture Director, Bihar, Patna
3. The Vice Chancellor, Rajendra Agricultural University Bihar At and Post Office - Pusa, District - Samastipur
4. The Director Of Administration, Rajendra Agricultural University Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District - Samastipur
5. The Controller, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District Samastipur
6. The Registrar, Rajendra Agricultural University Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District - Samastipur
7. The Dean, Faculty Of Agriculture Engineering And The Chairman Of Departmental Promotion Committee/Evaluation Committee, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District Samastipur
8. The Dean, Faculty Of Agriculture, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District - Samastipur
9. The Director, Sugarcane Research Institute , Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District Samastipur
10. The Associate Dean-Cum-Principal, Tirhut College Of Agriculture, At Dhobi, Post Office - Dholi, District - Muzaffarpur
11. The Chairman, Kast Niwaran Committee, Rajendra Agricultural University, Bihar At And Post Office - Pusa, District - Samastipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. AC to GP 16

Mr Chandra Mohan Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 11-04-2016

The issue raised by the petitioner with regard to benefit of particular pay scale has also been agitated earlier in CWJC No.10103 of 2014, decided on 18.12.2014. The writ application was

dismissed because the Court did not find any merit with regard to such claim of pay scale for grant of benefit of ACP in absence of any authorization, sanction or notification by the State of Bihar in this regard insofar as the said University is concerned.

The case of the present petitioner is no different from the case of the earlier petitioners mentioned above. In view of the same, the petitioner is not entitled to any benefit which he is looking for a higher pay scale. It is put for record that petitioner retired way back in the year 2003 but his lust for a few rupees more from the State exchequer has not died as yet.

Writ is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

sk

U			
---	--	--	--