

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18230 of 2015

Shanti Devi, Wife of Sant Kumar Sah, resident of village - Bisahi, P.O. Mali
Pokhar Vinda, P.S. & District - Sheohar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The District Programme officer (Establishment), Sitamarhi
5. The Principal, Gudar Jagdeo Senior Secondary School, Sonaul Sultan, Sheohar
6. The Principal, Govt. Women Teacher Training College, Dumra, Sitamarhi
7. The Bihar School Examination Board, Patna through its Secretary
8. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna
9. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna

.... Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 17663 of 2015

Mithila Kumari, wife of Ashok Kumar, resident of Village- Bisahi, Po Mali, Pokhar
Vinda, p.s& District Sheohar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department , Bihar , Patna.
3. The Director , Secondary Education , Education Department ,Bihar Patna.
4. The District Programme Officer(Establishment) Sitamarhi,
5. The Principal , Gudar Jagdeo Senior Secondary School, Sonaul Sultan , Sheohar.
6. The Principal ,Govt. of Women, Teacher Training College, Dumara, Sitamarhi.
7. The Bihar School Examination Board ,Patna through its Secretary.
8. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board ,Patna.
9. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.18230 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Y. B. Giri, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Arun Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Archana Sinha
AC to GP-25

For the BESB: Mr. Satyabir Bharti
Mr. Alok Chandra

(In CWJC No.17663 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Y. B. Giri, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Arun Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Archana Sinha
AC to GP-25

For the BESB: Mr. Satyabir Bharti
Mr. Alok Chandra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 02-08-2016

The original tabulation register, as directed by the Court, has been produced for perusal of the Court. The Court shared the position by permitting learned senior counsel for petitioners to peruse the tabulation register as well.

In both the cases, there is a clear endorsement of the fact that the results of both these petitioners were cancelled as far back as on 30.07.1993 on the orders of the erstwhile Chairman of the Examination Board.

If that be so, there cannot be any direction upon the Examination Board to issue a duplicate mark-sheet on the given facts.

The other arguments with regard to such cancellation are not required to be gone into in the present case.

Both the writ applications are dismissed, accordingly.

Petitioners have recourse in law on that aspect of the matter.

The original tabulation register has been returned to the
counsel for the Examination Board.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	02.08.2016
Transmission Date	