

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45671 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2014 Thana -SHANKARPUR District- MADHEPURA

- =====
1. Rinku Kumari daughter of Rambhajan Yadav @ Rambhajan Pd. Yadav, resident of village+ Post- Raibhir, P.S.-Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 2. Nilu Kumari daughter of Rambhajan Yadav @ Rambhajan Pd. Yadav, resident of village+ Post- Raibhir, P.S.-Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 3. Bidyanand Yadav Son of Late Laxmi Yadav @ Laxmi Prasad Yadav, resident of village+Post- Madheli Bazar, P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.
 4. Sadanand Yadav @ Sadanand Raw, Son of Late Laxmi Yadav @ Laxmi Prasad Yadav, resident of village+Post- Madheli Bazar, P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

with

Criminal Miscellaneous No.48970 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2014 Thana -SHANKARPUR District- MADHEPURA

Asha Kumari & Ors

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.45671 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha-Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ramchandra Sahani-A.P.P.

(In Cr.Misc. No.48970 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha-Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Ramchandra Sahani-A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

02 16-11-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as

learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

At an earlier occasion, vide Cr. Misc. No.6447 of

2015, which was taken up along with other anticipatory bail petition so enumerated therein Annexure-1, all those were dismissed as withdrawn.

Subsequently thereof, the plea of some of the accused other than petitioners were considered under Cr. Misc. No.12583 of 2016, on the basis thereof, some of the petitioners renewed their prayer under Cr. Misc. No.33625 of 2016 wherein it was observed that it happens to be a good ground for grant of regular bail in the background of the fact that by an act of forgery, petitioners could not succeed in getting their employment.

The case of the petitioners stand on similar footing whereupon the observation whatever been made under Cr. Misc. No.33625 of 2016 will operate.

With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the instant petition is disposed of.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

Vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--