

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.538 of 2008

=====

Debmuni Devi, wife of Hanuman Seth, resident of village-Chand, P.O.& P.S.Chand, District-Rohtas now Kaimur (Bhabhua)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Consolidation, Budha Marg, Bihar, Patna.
3. Rishav Deo Singh @ Rishideo Singh, son of late Pradumdeo Narayan Singh.
4. Rama Shankar Singh, son of late Pradum Deo Narayan Singh
5. Jai Shankar Singh, son of late Pradum Deo Narayan Singh
- All S.Nos. 3 to 5 of village-Halpur, P.O.-Halpur, P.S.-Basdih, District-Balia, U.P., at present residing in village-Dhobha Purab Patti, P.O. and P.S.-Chand, District-Kaimur (Bhabhua).
6. Barmapi Devi, widow of late Rakesh Bahadur Singh of village-Chhotka Mirzapur, P.O.& P.S.-Adalbal Mirzapur, District-Balia, U.P., at present residing in village-Akoni, P.O.&P.S.-Chand, District-Kaimur (Bhabhua).
7. Prasidh Nath Pandey, son of Shib Barat Pandey, resident of village-Chand, P.S.-Chand, District-Kaimur (Bhabhua).

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent nos.1&2 : Mr. Abbas Haider, SC-6

Mr. Ranjay Kumar Singh, AC to SC-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-08-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the names of the learned counsel appearing on her behalf are printed in the daily cause list.

The learned SC-6 appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2, on the other hand, submits that the impugned revisional order is well considered and quite exhaustive and cannot be legally faulted.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the present writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)