

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9534 of 2006

=====

Sudhir Mahto son of late Bhikhari Mahto, resident of village- Nanakpur
Doghra, P.S. Surajgarha, District Lakhisarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Additional Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
3. A.D. M. , Lakhisarai.
4. D.C.L.R., Lakhisarai.
5. Sudhansu Kumar son of Shri Rajeshwar Prasad Mahto, resident of
village Nanakpur, P.S. Surajgarha, District Lakhisarai.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent No.1 to 4: Mr. M.K. Upadhyay

For the Respondent No. 5 : Mr. Binod Kumar Singh

Mr. Parmanand Pd.Nr.Shahi

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 08-03-2016

Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the name of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is printed in the daily cause list.

However, the learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no. 1 to 4 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.5 have submitted that the claim of pre-emption raised on behalf of the petitioner was rejected by the original authority which has been affirmed by the revisional authority by the impugned revisional order as contained in Annexure-3. Therefore, according to them, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed by this Court.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)