

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18248 of 2013

=====

Lalita Devi W/O Late Raj Kumar Das Resident Of Hasanpura Parnadi, P.O+ P.S-
Beur, District- Patna. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary Ministry Of Social Welfare, Government Of Bihar, Patna. Null Null
2. The Director I.C.D.S., (Integrated Child Development Scheme), Government Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector Cum District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, (Sadar), Patna.
5. District Programm Officer, Patna.
6. Child Development Project Officer, Phulwarisarif, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. PRAMOD KUMAR SINGH

For the Respondent/s : Mr. ANJANI KR SHARAN

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 12-04-2016

The petitioner was engaged as Anganwari Sahaika at Anganwari Center no. 98, Hasanpura Parnadi under Chilibili block Phulwarisharif, district Patna. Her service was terminated vide order, dated 28.6.2012, passed in Anganwari Case no. 170 of 2012, by the District Programme Officer, Patna which was upheld in appeal by the District Collector. Termination order was passed on 2 grounds. Firstly, as per quality of food, petitioner's center was accredited Grade E on 3.9.2011. Subsequently, in course of inspection on 30.5.2012, she was found absent from the center.

The petitioner in her explanation submitted that at the time of inspection she had just left for house, to see her ailing son.

Counsel for the State submits that there is provision of further appeal before the Director I.C.D.S., (Integrated Child Development Scheme), Government Of Bihar, Patna (respondent no.2).

Without going into the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before the Director I.C.D.S., (Integrated Child Development Scheme), Government Of Bihar, Patna (respondent no.2). In case, such appeal is filed, the same would be disposed of by respondent no.2 within a period of three months after condoning the delay as the petitioner was pursuing the remedy before this Court.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

Shashi.

U			
---	--	--	--