

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.424 of 2011

=====

Sriman Narain Pandey S/O Late Raghuwansh Pandey R/O Vill Mahamada,
P.S.Gaurichak, Distt-Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Secretary, Department of Railway Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The State of Bihar, Through Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate Patna
4. Director, Land Acquisition Bihar, Patna
5. District Land Acquisition Officer Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent No.1 : Mr. Naresh Dixit, Advocate

For the Respondent Nos. 2 to 5: Mr.Ajay Kumar Sharma,AC to PAAG

=====


CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

8 04-07-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioner in support of the present writ petition, though the name of the learned counsel appearing on his behalf is printed in the daily cause list.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.1 as also the learned State counsel, appearing on behalf the respondent nos. 2 to 5, on the other hand, submitted that, in view of the statements made in paragraphs 6 and 8 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Railway, the present matter has become infructuous as 80% of the of the award amount has already been paid to the petitioner. It is further pointed out that despite service of copy of the aforesaid counter affidavit, no rejoinder affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner till date.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of

the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.



(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-