

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7315 of 2016

=====

Sita Ram Tripathi son of Late Indar Tiwary Resident of village and P.O. Deokuliya, P.S. Phenhara, District - East Champaran at Motihari.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
2. The Treasury Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
3. The State Bank of India through the Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
4. The Assistant General Manager, State Bank of India, Centralized Pension Processing Centre, Judges Court Road, Anta Ghat, Patna.
5. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Madhuban Branch, District - East Champaran at Motihari.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Respondent 1 & 2 : Mr. Durgesh Nasndan, AAG-14

Mr. Umesh Kumar, AC to AAG-14

For the Respondent No. 3 to 5: Mr. Satya Prakash Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Satya Vrat, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 22-09-2016

Heard the parties.

In view of the nature of grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition, fully enumerated in paragraph-1 of the writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that petitioner should approach the respondent District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari for redressal of his valid grievances by filing an appropriate petition with a certified copy of the present order within a period of two weeks from today.

If such a representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner within the aforesaid period of two weeks from today, then the respondent District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari shall be obliged to look into the valid grievances of the petitioner

and shall make all endeavours to redress his valid grievances at an early date preferably within a period of one month from the date of filing of such representation by the petitioner.

This is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition and this is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--