

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9044 of 2014

=====

Md. Nazir Alam son of Late Md. Basiruddin, Resident of village- Mallikpur,
Police Station- Azamnagar, District- Katihar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Industry, Khadi Gram Village Industries Commission, Bihar, Patna
3. The Assistant Director, Industry, Khadi Gram Village Industries Commission, Bihar, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Katihar
5. The District Development Commissioner, Katihar
6. The General Manager, District Industry Centre, Katihar
7. The General Manager, Central Bank of India, Mumbai
8. The Zonal Manager, Central Bank of India, Patna
9. The Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Purnea
10. The Branch Manager, Central Bank of India, Salmari P.S. Azamnagar, District- Katihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Rahmatullah, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Ms.Binita Singh, GP-31

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 09-02-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the respondent no. 10.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondent authorities to provide the entire amount of loan in terms of working capital (cash credit) as well as the term loan as sanctioned Rs. 5,00,000/- (five lakh) and only partly paid to the extent of Rs. 1,86,035/- for capital expenditure and Rs. 80,000/- by way of working capital,

whereas the balance amount of the sanctioned loan is yet to be disbursed.

3. Having regard to the nature of the grievance of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed, granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Branch Manager (Respondent No. 10). If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of in accordance with law and after grant of opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It is expected that in case any further amount of the sanctioned loan is found remaining to be disbursed to the petitioner, the same shall be done without undue delay.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U			
---	--	--	--