

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14354 of 2014

=====

1. Ashok Kumar Mishra son of Late Balmiki Mishra Resident of village - Kharara,
Police Station - Barahat, District - Banka

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Banka
2. Smt. Rachna Swati Mishra @ Putul Mishra wife of Ashok Kumar Mishra,
daughter of Late Arun Chandra Mishra Resident of Mohalla - Purab Sarai, Police
Station - Kotwali, District - Bhagalpur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : MR. MD. HARUN QUARESHI, ADV
For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP16- RAJESH SINGH

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-10-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Aggrieved by the impugned order by which the learned
court below has turned down the prayer on behalf of the petitioner
for marking the two documents in evidence, the present application
under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed.

After considering the submissions and perusal of the
impugned order, it transpires that the petition has been filed by the
petitioner for marking the two documents in evidence at the stage
when the matrimonial case was fixed for argument. The learned
court below has taken into notice the conduct of the petitioner in



making the prayer belatedly and has exercised its discretion in not allowing the prayer, as made, by the petitioner in his petition. This Court, therefore, has not been persuaded to interdict the impugned order.

The application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	09.12.2016
Transmission Date	N.A.

