

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20702 of 2014

=====

1. Rabindra Nath Yadav, a practising lawyer of jamui Civil Court, Son of late Bhagwat Gope, resident of Mohalla Srichand Nawada , Ward No.8,P.S Jamui, Dist. -Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Collector cum District Magistrate, Jamui,
2. Collector cum District Magistrate, Jamui,
3. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Jamui,
4. Circle Officer, Jamui
5. Baudhu Manjhi son of late Tikan Manjhi,
6. Dharmendra Manjhi,
7. Bholu Manjhi,
8. Gonga Manjhi, all sons of Baudhu Manjhi,
9. Rajgaddi Manjhi son of late Kailu Manjhi,
10. Kamlesh Manjhi,
11. Rajesh Manjhi, both sons of Rajgaddi Manjhi,
12. Balkishun Manjhi, son of late Rupan Manjhi,
13. Thakur Manjhi,
14. Rohit Manjhi, both sons of Bal Kishun Manjhi all resident of village Srichand Nawada, Ward No. 8, P.S.and Dist. Jamui,

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay , GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

ORAL

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 04-02-2016

Heard Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Ajay, learned GA-12, appearing for the State-respondents.

The grievance of the writ petitioner, made in this writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature

of Public Interest Litigation, relates to encroachment of public path/public road.

Having regard to the facts pleaded in this writ petition, the materials on record and, having heard learned counsel for both the parties, we find that the subject matter of this writ petition is fully covered by the observations made, and the directions given by us in the case of **Sanjay Jha Vs. The State of Bihar and Others**, reported in 2016 (1) PLJR 248.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, it is hereby directed that respondent No.2, namely, Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Jamui, and respondent No.3, namely, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Jamui, shall act, in the present matter, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made and the directions given in the order, dated 24.11.2015, aforementioned.

In view of the above, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

A.I./-

U			
---	--	--	--