

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15998 of 2014

=====

1. Kanhaiya Prasad Singh, Son of Shri Ram Krishna Singh, resident of
Village- Hathi Tola, Post Office- Chhihattar Police Station- Maner,
District- Patna Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
3. District Magistrate, Patna
4. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Danapur, Patna
5. Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Danapur, Patna
6. Circle Officer, Maner (Patna), District- Patna
7. Senior Superintendent Patna, District- Patna
8. Superintendent of Police (Rural Patna West), District- Patna
9. Officer-in-Charge, Maner Police Station, District- Patna
10. Shyama Sah S/o Late Banshi Sah
11. Madan Sah
12. Raj Kumar Sah
13. Shanker Sah
14. Prahlad Sah , All are sons of Shyama Sah
15. Arjun Sah S/o Late Banshi Sah
16. Shabhu Sah
17. Tuntun Sah
18. Sanjay Sah , All are S/o Shri Arjun Sah
19. Yogendra Sah S/o Late Saryug Sah
20. Baban Sah
21. Mahaver Sah
22. Vakil Sah
23. Mukesh Sah
24. Munna Sah, All are sons of Yogendra Sah, All are resident of Village-
Hathi Tola, P.S. + Anchal- Maner, District- Patna

..... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Phulen Yadav

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Parth Sarthi (GA-11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 30-01-2016 None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Heard Mr. Parth Sarthi, learned GA-11, appearing for the
State-respondents.

The grievance of the writ petitioner, made in this writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, relates to encroachment of public path/public road.

Having regard to the facts pleaded in this writ petition, the materials on record and, having heard learned counsel for both the parties, we find that the subject matter of this writ petition is fully covered by the observations made, and the directions given by us in the order, dated 24.11.2015, passed in C.W.J.C.No. 4309 of 2015 (**Sanjay Jha Vs. The State of Bihar and Others**), reported in 2016 (1) PLJR 248.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, it is hereby directed that respondent No.3, namely, District Magistrate, Patna and respondent No.4, namely, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Danapur, Patna, shall act, in the present matter, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made and the directions given in the order, dated 24.11.2015, aforementioned.

In view of the above, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

A.I./-

U			
---	--	--	--