

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18544 of 2014

=====

1. Jagdish Mahto S/o Late Ram Chandra Mahto.
2. Shlok Mahto
3. Mahendra Mahto, Both sons of Shri Jagdish Mahto, All are resident of village- Aurawan, P.S.-Magadh Medical, Gaya, District-Gaya.
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Collector, Gaya
2. The Collector, Gaya.
3. The D.C.L.R., Gaya Sadar, District Gaya.
4. The Anchaladhikari, Town, Town Gaya, District Gaya.
5. Dayanand Prasad Mahto son of Late Rupan Mahto, resident of village Aurawan, P.S. Magadh Medical, Gaya, District Gaya.
..... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 : Mr. Satya Prakash, AC to SC-22
For the Respondent No. 5 : Mr. Rabindra Kr. Priyadashi, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2016 Despite repeated calls, none appears on behalf of the petitioners in support of the present writ petition, though the names of the learned counsel appearing on their behalf are printed in the daily cause list.

The learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.5, on the other hand, submit that by recording concurrent findings of facts, claims of the petitioners with respect to the lands in question have been rejected, both by the revisional authority as also the learned Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna, which cannot be legally faulted.

Be that as it may, since none is appearing on behalf of the petitioners, the writ petition stands dismissed for want of production.

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)