

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4023 of 2014**

**In**  
**C. REV. 82 of 2014**

=====

1. Dwarika Manjhi Son of Shri Ram Manjhi  
2. Sonamati Devi Wife of Late Rajwanshi Manjhi  
3. Brij Kumar Manjhi Son of Late Punyadeo Manjhi  
4. Ratan Manjhi  
5. Shiv Ratan Manjhi Both sons of Late Sukat Manjhi  
6. Birendra Manjhi Son of Dwarika Manjhi  
7. Gajadhar Manjhi Son of Late Rajwanshi Manjhi All resident of Village -  
Pachbhinda, P.O. - Siswa Kala, P.S. - M.H. Nagar Hasanpura, District -  
Siwan

.... .... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar  
2. The Division Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra  
3. The Collector - cum- District Magistrate, Siwan  
4. The Superintendent of Police, Siwan  
5. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Siwan  
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Siwan  
7. The Circle Officer, Siwan District - Siwan  
8. The Circle Inspector Siwan, District - Siwan  
9. The S.H.O. M.H. Nagar Hasanpura, P.S. + District - Siwan  
10. Gano Nath Manjhi Son of Late Mangru Manjhi Resident of Village -  
Pachbhinda, P.O. Siswa Kala and P.S. – M.H. Nagar Hasanpure, District -  
Siwan

.... .... Opp. Parties

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      20-01-2016      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners. No one appears  
for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the civil  
review application was dismissed on account of the fact that  
although the peremptory order dated 6.8.2014 passed in the said  
Civil Review No. 82 of 2014 for filing requisites within one week  
was complied by 12.8.2014 but unfortunately the Advocate Clerk

concerned had mentioned the wrong case number and on verification, learned counsel had subsequently rectified the same and correction was made and the same was filed on 22.8.2014 resulting in the dismissal of the said case for non-compliance of the order dated 6.8.2014.

In the aforesaid circumstances, the Civil Review No.82 of 2014 is directed to be restored to its original file.

The restoration application is, accordingly, allowed.

Office is directed to issue the notices on the basis of the requisites subsequently rectified.

**(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)**

spal/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|