

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6476 of 2009

=====

Ramjee Mandal, son of Sri Bhaiya Lal Mandal, resident of Mauza Sarisab,
Tola Navtol, P.S.-Pandaul, District-Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
2. The Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
3. The Additional Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
4. The Collector, Madhubani.
5. The Additional Collector Land Reforms, Madhubani.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Madhubani.
7. Smt. Gayatri Devi, wife of Sri Shanti Nath Jha, resident of Mauza-Sarisabpahi, P.S.-Pandaul, District-Madhubani.
8. Smt. Ratna Jha, wife of Shri Vijay Chandra Jha, resident of Mauza-Sarisabpahi, P.S.-Pandaul, District-Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent no.7 : Mr. Gyanand Roy, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 04-08-2016

When the matter was taken up for consideration on 05.05.2015, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner had submitted that during the pendency of the writ petition the sole petitioner has passed away and, therefore, on his prayer, the matter was adjourned for six weeks enabling him to file an appropriate petition on behalf of the heirs and legal representatives of the deceased sole petitioner seeking their substitution in the present writ petition vice deceased sole petitioner.

From perusal of the office note dated 27.07.2016 it appears that, despite indulgence granted by this Court by the aforesaid order dated 05.05.2015, no substitution petition has been filed till date.

In above view of the matter, on account of death of

the sole petitioner and on account of the fact that, despite indulgence granted by this Court, his heirs and legal representatives have not come forward to file a petition seeking their substitution in place of original writ petitioner, the present writ petition has abated.

Consequently, the present writ petition, as a whole, is dismissed.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--